Strahlman, Dr.L. 1-2-48
508 Medico Dent Bldg(1)

"IN HOC SIGNO VINCES"

THE BROOM

"Truth shall make you free".

This paper is devoted to end war. We expose the war-makers and all activities that finally culminate in war. Hence we expose all fake religious, economic and political activities that cloak the real war preparations.

We all admire a man who says what he thinks — as long as he says it about other people.

VOLUME XVII. Number 19 EAST SAN DIEGO (5), CALIFORNIA MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1947 $4 per year; Single Copy 10 Cts.

John T. Flynn Smears the Smearers

By C. LEON de ARYAN

The Chicago Tribune has made available in booklet form the complete series of articles by John T. Flynn, exposing the activities of America's smear organizations and the men behind them. Price 25c each; by postpaid mail, 30c each. In quantity lots, 5 for $1; 12 for $2; 50 for $7; 100 for $12. **Tribune Tower, 435 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Illinois.**

Previously these articles appeared in the Chicago Tribune.

In reading John T. Flynn's revelations, bear in mind the time element. The war is over; the Germans are enslaved, literally; Wm. Dudley Pelley remains in jail and thousands of others; the damage is all done; the "original directors of the organization" that instigated the war and conducted the character assassinations are dead, like Samuel Untermyer, or no longer on the present directorate; the nonsectarian Anti-Nazi League, child of B'nai B'rith, has outlived its purpose; so now **courageous "crusader" for truth and Christ: John T. Flynn,** puts on his armor, mounts his charger and levels his lance at a living corpse that stinks so badly the Sanhedrin wants it put away and Flynn gets paid for it.

This is the thing you must never forget: the time element; after all the damage is done by a criminally conceived organization; all its ends achieved, and it runs now wild and **damages its very originators,** here comes "crusader" Flynn, "exposes" it and collects.

But I went to jail when I faced this same organization and exposed it. Where was Flynn then? Well, Flynn was hurrahing for it and all it stood for. Flynn was "patriotic"! Murderously patriotic!

In his series, Flynn simply goes into a lot of details to show how a bunch of Jewish scoundrels,—but he dares not name the Jews as JEWS, the "crusader" loves the Jewish shekels;—formed various organizations to allegedly create sentiment **against the criminal activities of the Nazis and protect their Jewish victims.** Chief among them was the Anti-Nazi League of Samuel Untermyer. What Flynn fails to state is that Samuel Untermyer advocated **breaking of U. S. Treaty obligations** by Americans **without officially abrogating the treaties in point.** It is the same like advocating breach of constitutional guarantees without legally amending the Constitution to that effect. In other words: gangster government by a bunch of Jews, led by Untermyer. Courageous "crusader" John T. Flynn would lose his shekels if he dared **tell the truth.** So Flynn goes on and white-washes a few mealy-mouthed politicians, like Taft and Wheeler, who were against the war before Pearl Harbor but did all in their power to protect the British and Dutch first and hand all Europe over to the Russians, French and English slave-holders. To clear the atmosphere for those types of politicians, Flynn obligingly "exposes" the character of the **present composition of the smear-bund,** but neglects to show that **this outfit deliberately threw the nation into war by terroristic activities and terror organizations into which it DRAFTED EVERY DEPARTMENT OF THE U S GOVERNMENT** that took orders from and executed them to create terror officially.

The Anti-Nazi League could not have spied, raided homes and offices, raided the mails, tapped wires, intimidated private and public business **without the active co-operation of the FBI** and of all other departments of government. Flynn dares not show that the smear-gang **was the government,** all thru the war, to insure that **nobody dared successfully stop the war, which the SANHEDRIN had started** to rob the American people of their inalienable rights and NOT to defend the world against Japs and Nazis, for these were the very creatures of the SANHEDRIN. Hitler's outfit and the Mikado's had been carefully planned and produced during half a century of financial, political and military maneuvers by the SANHEDRIN. They were brought forth to **force the world to arm and go to war.** First, they armed Hitler, then they hollered: "Oi, Oi, Gewalt, **everybody arm, the bad Hitler will get you!"**

The very same procedure is going on under your noses right now: **We have turned over the best part of Europe and of China** to Stalin; we have lend-leased him into an opulence he never had before; we have armed him to the teeth; we have given him all of Germany's industrial and military equipment; **we have given him the German scientists to produce the A-bomb;** we gave him millions of German and other work-slaves; and now we are told we must arm to the teeth because Stalin will invade us via the north pole.

Are you stupid? Don't you see how the Sanhedrin **makes our enemies, arms them, ROBS US to strengthen our future enemies and then DRAFTS OUR CHILDREN to go to war** against millions of Russians who, left to themselves, would not have been able to fight us in a thousand years!

Don't forget these matters when you read the "exposures" of the "heroic" John T. Flynn!

But there is another bone I have to chew with the distinguished gentleman whose conscience does not permit him to expose smear-bunds till after they succeeded in the original purpose and now became a nuisance to their creators.

Leon Birkhead, factotum of the smear-bund, is a scoundrel. Therein I agree with Flynn. But that does not prevent me from giving the devil his due. That Birkhead plays both sides of the street is nothing new, but so does Flynn. Had Flynn done his exposing in 1939 to 1942 he would have been a crusader for truth; but at that time he was with the smear-bund; he yet speaks of Samuel Untermyer with respect and apologizes that Sam was the originator of the smear organization, while Sam was the **original killer of character. THIS Flynn dares not say.** Sam demanded that we boycott Germany **while we had a treaty of PEACE and COMMERCE** with Germany. Because Sam knew, if we broke that treaty, without abrogating it, then the Jews would be able to stampede us into war with Germany. And Sam figured the psychological angle correctly. Flynn dares not explain that angle of it. I did, long before the war, and came under Sam's **boycott and persecution with all the attending consequences.**

We are not surprised to find Winchell and Derounian work both sides of the street. They are for sale, for a dollar either will lie. Nevertheless, I have more respect for a prostitute **known to be for sale** to one who is garbed in the cloth of respectability.

Stalin is openly an atheist. Flynn is an atheist too, only he pretends to be a Christian and a **defender of religion.** Yet, when we apply Christ's yardstick: by their fruits . . . . we find that the Christ refused to obey the Sanhedrin and go to war, while Flynn was patriotically shouting himself hoarse during the war **for the war.**

And THIS is **atheism!** Not lip-service, but where do you stand?! War is hell! It is run and executed, planned and financed by devils. Those with the Christ line up on the RIGHT, those with Satan are **lined up in war!**

Now Leon Birkhead did one good thing. He joined hands with Haldeman-Julius and **exposed the atheistic clergy. Atheistic as I defined Flynn.** By their fruits . . . .

Leon Birkhead truthfully described the priestcraft and all these little people who hurrahed for the war, during the war, **in their very churches while they were praying to the Christ with their lips but sent their sons to murder. . .**

> "Most people who make **any pretense of being religious** would be better off without any religion . . . . the little or much religion they possess makes them meaner than they would be as non-religious beings."

That is the truth Birkhead published in his description of these hypocrites. And Flynn quotes that **against Birkhead** in an attempt to rouse the ire of "religious groups" and "prove" Birkhead an atheist and scoundrel. All he did is prove that Flynn has not a flicker of intellectual integrity; Birkhead was very specific and definite. He did not attack religion, for once, but those "who make any pretense of being religious", which is the 90% of "good church people" who blared **for the war** while praying to the Christ.

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

Enclosed is $2.00 for 6 months subscription to the Broom. It is a part of my daily reading material since it has the truth told in ways that other things don't present. — — O. R.

Mr. C. Leon de Aryan:

My subscription for "The Broom" must be about run out, so I'm enclosing money order for $5. Please renew my subscription for another year. Keep the change. I like your paper very much. Yours truly, — — J. W. S.

Appeal Court Voids Sedition Verdict

LOS ANGELES — (Special) The San Francisco U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals unanimously set aside "sedition" sentences of Arthur L. Bell and nine companions, and ordered indictments dismissed.

U. S. District Judge Violates Geneva Convention

DETROIT, — James McBride, 40, and his blonde wife, Joan, 42, were sentenced to four years in a federal penitentiary by Federal Judge Arthur F. Lederle for aiding in the escape of a German prisoner of war with whom Mrs. McBride said she had fallen in love.

They were sentenced for helping Rudolph Soelch, 24, former member of Marshal Goering's air force, to escape from Camp Haan, Cal., and for harboring him. They were convicted January 14.

During a trip by auto from California to Detroit, Soelch and Mrs. McBride fell in love, she said. On arrival here McBride left his wife and went to Pennsylvania. Soelch and Mrs. McBride were arrested in a rooming house.

Judge Lederle gave Soelch a six months suspended sentence for contempt of court when he refused to testify against Mrs. McBride. Army authorities said that Soelch is now en route back to Germany. The judge told the McBrides he felt he should send them to Germany, too, but could not because both were American citizens.

Editor's Note: The Geneva Convention, which the USA signed, has the same effect in law as the U. S. Constitution. Its provisions prevail. Accordingly, **a POW has the immunity of escape. Under the G. C. his duty is to escape.** It is provided he cannot be punished. Neither does he have to testify. Judge Lederle's "contempt sentence" constitutes mistrial. Appeal now will demonstrate it.

The McBride Appeal Case

God's ways are mysterious and it is not my office to call HIM down and say I don't like His ways. My business is to obey and execute His Will with the means at hand.

When Mary Magdalene was dragged before Jesus, he did not ask the woman: "What **did you do?"** He looked at her accusers, wrote in the sand, which the illiterate mob could not read, **the sins of each particular witness against Mary.** All those scribes slinked away, one by one, as they read it and finally Jesus said: "Woman, where are thy accusers?" and dismissed the charges.

Joan McBride, to some people, is nothing but another Mary, of Magdala, who had fallen in love with a young "Nazi butcher" of innocent people, as they view the whole Nazi exploit. She should go to Germany, is their view.

But, hailed on charges before the Court, looking at it thru the eyes of Jesus another picture presents itself.

Uncle Sam signed a Geneva Convention to treat Prisoners of War in a certain humane fashion. This treaty has been torn to shreds. The ancient criminal institution of war captives sold into slavery has been revived, instead. Then this old reprobate comes into court in Detroit and charges Joan with having helped one of his **unlawfully held war slaves escape.**

Now, thru Jesus' eyes, we see 5 million German, Austrian, Hungarian, Bulgarian war captives sold into slavery to France, England and Russia. We see them disappear into the slave camps whence there is no return, most of them die within two years. Uncle Sam is continuing this very outrage in spite of all pious rolling of his eyes to the contrary. Now Jesus asks: "He who is without sin cast the first stone on Joan".

According to this Christian principle we judge Joan. She helped a man escape the fate of modern war slaves. At least she made the attempt. Can Uncle Sam in **our name defend his actions in introducing war slavery?**

In the name of Humanity we are going to appeal this conviction. That the Lord did choose a middle aged woman who fell for a young buck and lived with him, is none of my business. HE DID CHOOSE HER. She dared obey the impulse of compassion. We, who are in HIS SERVICE, simply repeat the words of Jesus: "He that is without sin among you cast the first stone against her." Uncle Sam has no moral and even no legal right to cast any stones at all.

We are going to the US Supreme Court. We need your financial help. Will you fail us? Can you afford to fail us?

Suffer the Little Children . . . . .

An Open Letter to the President

By SALEM BADER

Dear Mr. President:

Yesterday I sat in the quiet of my living room meditating on the present conditions of this world. What could the future be holding for these teeming hundreds of millions reeking with hatred, revenge, brutalities, greed, murder and other atrocities?

Albert, my little nephew, ran across the room to me. A little boy happy and carefree. Then the thought came— "How much more fortunate he was than millions of other little boys in the world! He has been spared starvation and homelessness—bombs dropping on his crib, and the terror of invading armies butchering his parents and converting him into a cringing waif!"

Yes, little Albert was spared, because he was born in the United States. But—he was not spared the life of his father. Mr. President, his father was butchered allright—in Pearl Harbor! Remember Pearl Harbor? **Mr. Roosevelt should and Mr. Marshall, Mr. Stark and Mr. Hull should.** They all forgot to warn those young men who sat there selected for slaughter.

"What kind of a world will little Albert have to live in?" The question surged through my mind. Suddenly this question seemed wrong—terribly wrong. "What kind of a world am I creating FOR HIM to live in? A torrent of shame and guilt gripped me. I felt inadequate to answer. I felt unworthy of the trust and confidence that that little boy had in me to keep his world a happy and worthwhile place.

I thought of my father and the kind of world he had made for me, and the millions of fathers whose sons have been and are suffering and dying in every corner of this earth.

"The sins of the fathers shall be visited upon the children."

Could it be the curse of the Old Testament still operating? How horribly unjust. But, then I thought Christ had repudiated that. Didn't he say, "call no man on earth your father"? Yes— He also said, "Moses told you an eye for an eye, but I say to you love your enemies." Drastic contradictions! But—which one do we believe in Mr. President? Which one are we following? Are we worshipping the Mosaic Jehovah or Our Christian Father?

More than that, I thought of the so-called great men of our generation. These leaders in whose hands it was to carry their peoples to doom and destruction, or to an empty and false victory. These men all had a "cause" and promised their peoples prosperous futures. They promised everything in the name of democracy, human rights, justice and the four freedoms. But—now we see they all LIED, these "great" men.

Then, Mr. President, I thought of you and the circumstances which sent you to the White House. I tried to measure you against these other leaders. Were you different? Were you big enough to be entrusted with the welfare of this nation? Could I trust you with the making of a decent world for little Albert to live in? Do you have the political acumen and integrity to buck the powers which are bent on further imperialism and mass murder?

Then I thought—does it really matter who sits in the White House? Do Messrs. Baruch, Morgenthau, Warburg, Strauss, Rockefeller and the House of Morgan care who the man is? Do they really care whether the Congress is controlled by the Republicans or the Democrats?

Suddenly I AGAIN heard your voice on the radio. Your tinny voice was again beaming to the world the advent of a monstrous invention, THE ATOMIC BOMB. I remembered when your description of this diabolical weapon had made me numb.

So Anglo-American genius had given birth to this cancerous and dying world, the Atomic Bomb. Wonder of wonders! Praise be to God! I wondered at the time if the man from the tiny village of Independence, Mo., realized what he was saying. The arrogant and threatening manner in which you spoke could have shamed Hitler or any other strutting dictator. But that was not enough. You then told us that WE had already used this monster to completely annihilate a whole city of hundreds of thousands of helpless men, women and children—and animals and everything!

"If they do not now accept our terms, they may expect a rain of ruin from the air, the like

of which has never been seen on earth. Behind this air attack will follow sea and land forces in such number and power as they have not seen." Those were your words Mr. President. Could it have been the President of the United States speaking? Of course, that day you forgot to tell us that the miserable Japanese had been willing to accept our terms several months previous, but your predecessor had said that they had not suffered enough.

You said several other things: something about destroying Japan's power to make war, and that it was a race between us and the Nazis as to who would first perfect this monster.

Now, Mr. President, you must think we are all dumb. Many of us ARE, I agree. But honestly not all of us are morons.

(To be continued)

Pope Pius and the Cardinals of the Catholic Church are very much disturbed over the attempt being made by certain United Nations leaders to overthrow by indirection the Spanish Government. The fall of Franco would mean the rise of the hammer and sickle.

"ARE JEWS GERMANS?"

This heading appears in the Oct. 10, 1946 issue of Brith Abraham, organ of the Independent Order Brith Abraham (37 Seventh St., New York City).

"This question was debated before the United Nations Economic and Social Council meeting in New York. At first glance, it would seem absurd that serious, mature people, gathered to discuss important international business, should waste even a moment on such a question. But in the present international situation anything is possible, especially if it concerns Jews.

"The discussion revolved about whether Jews, who had been **citizens** of Germany and are now in that country, should be considered as refugees, and therefore entitled to the assistance that will be given refugees, or not. By the slim majority of six to five, the logical and sensible conclusion was finally adopted, to the effect that **Jews are not Germans,** and that **even though they had lived in Germany and Austria** before the war **and were nationals of these countries, they must not be confused with Germans and Austrians."**

THAT APPLIES, THEN, TO JEWS IN THIS COUNTRY ALSO.—Elizabeth Dilling.

Dear C. L. de A.:—

Enclosed $8.50. $6.50 of this is to renew my subscription for a year.

The other $2.00 is for anything you wish.

Sincerely, — — C. P.

The "beating" given John Roy Carlson in Brooklyn was a publicity stunt cooked up between himself and Walter Winchell for increasing the sales of his new smear book, "The Plotters."

Appeal for Help to Enforce Geneva Convention for Humane Treatment of War Prisoners

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

Following my talk with you on the long distance this morning, I filed notice of appeal in behalf of Mrs. McBride, and I am now prepared to prosecute the appeal to effect, if such action is desired.

As I stated to you on the phone, the District Judge denied bail pending an appeal, and as you know an order for bail will now have to be obtained from the Circuit Court of Appeals at Cincinnati, Ohio, or allowed by one of the judges of that Court.

Prior to and during the trial of the case, one of Mrs. McBride's brothers took a very active interest in her behalf, and also paid my charges. Following the sentencing Mr. Redmer, the brother, came to the office together with one of her sisters and discussed the matter of an appeal. That was last Monday noon. It was agreed when they left that he was to call me the first thing Tuesday morning, and he asked that I take the necessary steps to appeal the case. I did my part all right and when I didn't hear from Mr. Redmer during Tuesday, I called his place of business continually until yesterday afternoon, but he has totally neglected to contact me. I then assumed that it was not his intention to take any further part in the appeal.

I accordingly concluded from Mr. Redmer's attitude that no appeal would be taken, until I received your long distance call this morning. Thereafter and in compliance with your request, I filed the notice of appeal, and this afternoon I will go over and see Mrs. McBride, providing she is still at the County Jail, for I understand that she was going to be taken away this week.

Mrs. McBride and her brother asked what my charges would be for handling the appeal and I gave them a price of $1500.00 in addition to the expenses, and I can assure you that is a very reasonable charge for the amount of work which will be involved. I told them, that the expense for transcript and printing and court fees would be approximately $600.00, which would make a total cost of $2,100.00. As you know, this is a case that will involve a great deal of legal work and I would want my charges and the expenses paid in advance.

The grounds for appeal would raise the question of the legality of the soldier's imprisonment by the United States Army under the terms of the Geneva Convention, which does not authorize a transfer of prisoners from one belligerent to another, but on the other hand specifically charges the capturing power with maintenance of its prisoners. There is also the question of the admissibility of an alleged confession by Mrs. McBride. In my judgment it was neither a voluntary confession nor was there sufficient proof of the commission of the offense to justify admission of said statement. There were also other alleged errors that I will not burden you with at this time.

In conclusion I want to assure you of my appreciation, also that of Mrs. McBride and her sister and brother, for your interest in the case, and I shall be very glad to hear from you at your convenience.

Very truly yours,
CHARLES BOWLES

* * * *

Will our Readers, please, send in their contributions immediately to the Editor

Charles Bowles, Esq.,
1119 Dime Bldg., Detroit 26, Michigan

Dear Mr. Bowles:

Thanks for having accepted my assurance that I have taken charge of the arrangements for the defense upon request of your client. I am enclosing hand-written letters to that effect which you need not return.

In a previous instance impulsive Joan got herself into a jam, though she was innocent of any wrong doing. It looked like a foolhardy task to get her out, but I stayed with it till I had her out and the Appellate Court of the Third District in California finally upheld my position.

This case is greater in scope than getting Joan out. It involves the honor and integrity of the USA, who is a party to the Geneva Convention and a party to the Nuernberg war criminals trial, where the Nazi high commanders were found guilty of **exactly the same crimes against humanity which the USA is now committing against German POW,** as war slaves.

I had no money to get Joan out in the California case but **my readers took my word for it** and sent in their mites from 25c up to $100. It enabled me to defray my expenses of travel and court battle and we got Joan and her companions released, finally, though the A. C. L. U. did its share of the work in the end. In this instance I don't expect help from that quarter, but **all Americans who are aware of the fact that HUMANE TREATMENT** of German prisoners is the ONLY INSURANCE that **their children will be humanely treated in the war at our doors NOW,** will immediately send in their mite to me, as happened in the California case, to help me break the lethargy that paralyses the Spirit of America.

Several people wrote in previously that had they been in Joan's place they could not have acted otherwise, knowing the fate that awaits prisoners delivered to France or Russia. I expect these people now to go to work and contribute what they would have spent **had they been in Joan's place,** and I expect them to solicit funds from friends and relatives as if it were for themselves, to get Joan out of jail and successfully appeal the case. My readers have never let me down and I am sure my faith in them is not misplaced this time either.

Your charges are not exorbitant. I have full confidence in your ability and good will, having talked with you over the phone. I shall most likely go to Detroit to consult with you since there are phases and details that seem not to have registered, which I had impressed upon Joan. I even wrote them out in the form of questions and answers to get on the record in examining Joan and the POW. These matters need my personal attention.

I am confident the BROOM readers will respond. It really would be a matter of some five hundred people doing their part and we have this number of aware minds. It would not be too much of a burden on the individual and soon you'll be able to complete your appeal.

Thanking you for your confidence and cooperation,

I am, sincerely,
C. LEON de ARYAN
P. O. Box 349, East San Diego 5, California.

THE BROOM
"IN HOC SIGNO VINCES"

The American National Weekly
"NOT AFRAID TO TELL THE TRUTH"
Subscription $4.00 per year; $1.00 for 3 months
FRED de ARYAN, Owner
C. LEON de ARYAN, Editor
P. O. Box 349, East San Diego 5, Calif.
Declared a legal newspaper of general circulation for the County and City of San Diego, in California, by Superior Court Order, January 15, 1932.
Entered as second class matter March 27, 1931, at the postoffice of San Diego, California, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

WAR 'OBJECTORS' ORGANIZE TO DEFY 'PEACE DRAFT'

DENVER,—The 83 members of the Denver Fellowship of Reconciliation announced they will have nothing more to do with conscription and will mail their draft cards to President Truman on Feb. 12.

The organization claims 15,000 members throughout the United States. The Denver group described the action as a nationwide "movement on the part of conscientious objectors and undeclared pacifists — who now are ready to refuse to compromise any longer in any way with the war system."

CITY VETERANS PAY TRIBUTE TO HAYM SALOMON

CHICAGO,—A number of Chicago veterans' groups cooperated in observing the 162d anniversary of the death of Haym Salomon, Jewish patriot of the Revolutionary war. The commemorative ceremony was held at the monument of Salomon, George Washington, and Robert Morris at Wabash av. and Wacker dr., a monument erected in the cause of tolerance and patriotism. Barnet Hodes, city corporation counsel and chairman of the Patriotic Foundation of Chicago, participated.

Haymn Salomon never did a single thing as a "patriot" to finance the Revolutionary War. The claims have been repeatedly exposed as propaganda of Polish Jews to "soften" the minds of Americans. How well they succeeded is proven by the "monument" next to George Washington's in Chicago.

"New World Verse"

"Atomic"

The Jew got a thought in his cranium,
To conquer the world with uranium,
So he up and he sold, every bit of his gold,
And blasted the world to Jewranium.
—James Bryan.

U. S. EXPERIMENTS ON PRISONERS 'UNPRINTABLE'

NUERNBERG,—A published account of American medical experiments on volunteer prisoners was presented in the trial of 23 S. S. (elite guard) doctors accused of inflicting cruel tests on concentration camp captives. A German doctor condemned the conduct of the Germans and asserted his opinion of the American experiments was "unprintable".

Robert Servatius, defense lawyer, introduced a copy of Life magazine of June, 1945, showing pictures of prisoners at Atlanta, the Illinois state prison and the New Jersey correctional institute, who had been injected with malaria on a "volunteer" basis. The prisoners included Nathan Leopold, Chicago thrill killer of Bobby Franks.

Servatius asked Dr. Werner Liebrand, professor of medical ethics at Erlangen university, his opinion and the witness replied: **"Unprintable."**

"I cannot deviate from my opinion," he said, "such experiments are excesses, the outcome of biological thinking. **Prisoners are under forced living. They cannot be considered volunteers. No layman is qualified to know the danger involved."**

GOVERNMENT BY TREASON

World supergovernment has at last been openly called just what it is — treason to this Republic.

Here is a book of eighty pages, packed with some of the most concentrated and documented data your Editor has seen. The private manipulation of the Nation's currency, its money system, is not only made clear to those who have never studied the question, it is directly woven into the conspiracy to now manipulate the currency of the entire world.

It sounds deep, but the author has made the reading as clear as crystal. Relieved by a subtle sense of humor, one reads and re-reads the volume to find ones self completely master of the whole scheme.

That pile of gold in a hole in the Kentucky hills, the author calls "national inoculation of yellow fever". We, he says, are the only ones to die of the disease. When he cites Congressional testimony that there is a "technical gold backing" to our currency, and that the gold has been removed, he asks delicately, "That leaves the 'technical', doesn't it?"

As for the "international purse-snatching" (he calls this robbing of our national Treasury), he has the most scathing contempt. Sitting on top of the pile, "like fakirs of India", are the "international untouchables . . . far removed in their astral sphere".

Those who are behind this affair are named, and the people's remedy is presented, for once. One finishes reading this book with hope and determination — and then reads the book again.

GOVERNMENT BY TREASON by John Howland Snow is published by Domestic and Foreign Affairs, P. O. Box 1103, Grand Central Annex, New York, 17, N. Y.

Please mention the Broom when ordering.

There are about 3.45 ounces of steel in a No. 2 tin can.

"Our Enemy the State"

Dear Kosti:

Have you read "Our Enemy the State" by Alfred J. Nock? Your stuff is so powerfully in line with this that you might be accused of stealing it if we did not know you were 100% straight. If you can't get it elsewhere, Caxton Publishing Co., Caldwell, Idaho, sells it at $2.50 and give the trade 40% discount if you can qualify.

They should give you a dozen copies for the boost you will give them.

Kind regards and all kinds of happiness.

Sincerely, CHAS. H. INGERSOLL

* * * *

Dear Charles:

For the sake of complete independence of our paper I made the rule never to ask favors. If Alfred Nock discovered the same facts as I did and his publishers would benefit by the mention of his book in our paper it is not up to me to ask for a copy or to pay cash for it.

Thanks, KOSTI

JEWISH HATE CAMPAIGNS

In the years gone by, the Jews have demanded that we hate the people of Germany and all things German. The citizens of the United States have twice done a very good job in such a hate campaign. Now the Zionists are demanding that we hate Great Britain and all things British. Will the hatred of the Jews never die? Is Jewish hatred everlasting? Must the people of the United States continue to pour their riches of men and money into bloody alien causes inspired by Jewish internationalists? And can hatred of any peoples anywhere be given consideration in the activities of the United Nations if lasting friendship and peace among all the nations of the earth is to be established? —G. Allison Phelps

---

Editor, The Broom,

I am herewith enclosing $6.50 for one year from above date subscription to The Broom.

— — H. W.

HICKENLOOPER WILL BE G.O.P. SPEAKER

Sen. Bourke Hickenlooper of Iowa will be the Lincoln Day speaker at a dinner meeting of San Diego's Republican organizations Feb. 12. He was given the San Diego assignment by the Republican National Committee's speakers' bureau.

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

On the morning of Hoover's call on Truman I sent him care White House the following wire:

"Herbert Hoover, care White House, Washington, D. C.

Worst famine entire French Zone. Trier churches and houses fly black flags of death. French give 450 calories half Belsen rations. Children pitiful too weak to play. People ask will America permit last German carried to grave? Immediate large scale relief necessary to avert catastrophe.

Tittmann".

The information is authentic. That evening the "Sun" reported that Hoover would visit the French Zone, and I should feel happy if the wire helped.

Sincerely, A. O. TITTMANN

It is becoming evident that the late FDR violated his oath not only on one or two occasions but on literally hundreds of occasions. He is alleged to have made numerous secret deals with foreign powers and foreign individuals involving millions and billions of dollars. These secret deals will be investigated and the result will go beyond the imagination of man. Investigation will doubtless involve a probing into the activities of James Roosevelt, Elliott Roosevelt and Eleanor Roosevelt. Much of the former President's personal correspondence has even been hidden or destroyed by Samuel Rosenman and others who perused the files before they left the White House. This will make the investigation somewhat difficult, but there are enough living witnesses to bring out the truth if the Congressional Committee has the courage to act.

TRUMAN'S COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS

WASHINGTON, — New York Atty. Morris Ernst, author of "The Four Freedoms," caused a buzz of discussion at the closed-door meeting when he proposed:

"Why not invite the Ku Klux Klan, the Columbians and Gerald L. K. Smith to give us their views on racial prejudice also? There is no reason why we should shut our ears and hear only one side. Our final report will be stronger if we hear both sides." —Drew Pearson

---

Just as we whip the U. S. New Deal we find ourselves faced with an international New Deal — the United Nations and its subsidiaries.

Protocols of the Elders of Zion
50 cents per single copy
$5 per dozen
ORVILLE ROUNDTREE
1469 Fourth Street
Chehalis, Washington

Defense Fund for Joan McBride

Dear Kosti:

I do not remember if I wrote you about the $6 I received about a week or so ago. I thought I did but then I have been so upset lately am not sure of anything. Anyway, thanks to the kind friends if I didn't already.

I am **not** hysterical about myself. I felt so bad about them sending the POW back and before that I kept trying to see him. They promised me soon as the trial is over I could, then they refused me until the last day I got 10 minutes to say goodbye. **The poor boy is so starved.** He is naturally thin but he sure lost weight in that jail. **He said he never was so hungry in his whole life.** Also when he was ready to leave they could not find his paints. He spent at least $50 or more on them and they stole it. Also had a time getting his wrist watch and ring. They need not talk about Russia.

Well, tomorrow I will be sentenced. Wonder what the kind judge will give me. I plan on appealing and am hoping to stay out on the same bond, tho I do not know how I am able to get that money together. I have to pay for the transcript right away which is $300 or more —then my attorney wants $1500 or more. It just is too much for me to worry about all the time. I wonder if it is worth it—perhaps I should just serve my time.

Have not seen Jim since the trial. Whatever my attorney is doing for me also helps him. **You are wrong about the POW.** He could not do otherwise. **I hung myself** with the statement I gave them at the time of my arrest. **Jim also blamed the whole thing on me** and did not take the stand to deny anything. Rudi was the only one that could repudiate my self-incriminating statement and why shouldn't he?

Love, JOAN.

* * * *

Dear Friend:

Find enclosed ten ($10) for Joan McBride defense. Sincerely, — W. E. B.

* * * *

Mr. de Aryan:

This is the hardest thing I have to do — to tell you that my darling sister got four years. I cried all day — I didn't even close my eyes last night. I couldn't pray — it seemed so useless — God seemed so near as if He went right with us as to tell us "Look what the world did to my beloved Son". What is justice?

I'm sorry now that Joan undertook to fight this case. What could she do — to date over $2000 have been spent and about $3000 are needed and none of us have any more to spare or otherwise. I shall not express my opinion how Joan should have plead — it's too late to change anything now. By now you must know how dasterdly cowardly Jim was. Joan took all the blame in her statement while Jim put all the blame on Joan — enough of that.

Joan wanted me to ask you for any help you can give her now. She followed your advice and fought — now what? Joan's health is very poor and I'm afraid she will break down completely. You know she has never really recovered from the last ordeal. Please do something in a hurry.

Sincerely, GERTRUDE M. WOUTERS

* * * *

County Jail, Jan. 29, 1947
525 Clinton St., Detroit, Mich.

Dear Kosti:

Since I have so much time on my hands now, will write you a few lines. Saw Trude today—she said she already wrote you so you know where I am. It was a big shock to me to get that much time, also to be taken to jail when my attorney told me I would stay out on bond during the appeal.

I am here at the County Jail now—expect to be taken to prison any day now. Guess they will take me to W. Virginia. Saw my brother today too. He is doing all he can but **every move takes a lot of money** so I told him he better not appeal as long as I cannot be out on bond in the meantime and earn some money towards that. I do feel as tho I would get a **reversal** but it takes so long till it comes up and I have to serve time all during that. Really I do not know what to do. Have you any suggestions? Please write me here for I may stay here for quite a while yet. They did not tell me when I am going.

I did not speak to Jim nor do I ever intend to. Had he talked and told the truth he could have saved me and not hurt himself any more. He is a hypocrite thru and thru. Well Kosti, I hope I hear from you soon. Please give my best wishes to my friends.

Love, JOAN McBRIDE

* * * *

Dear Trude:

Will you kindly get me the address and name of Jim's attorney. We will have to co-operate with him to bring out the best points in the mistrial of the case. Jim has an even better chance of beating the verdict than Joan has and anything that helps one, also helps the other. The court and prosecution have made so many errors that it is lousy with them. I was sick with a bad cold at the time of the trial and could not have been in Detroit without endangering my life. You know how it is with us Californians. Besides I was stone broke. But, had I been there many things could have been taken advantage of immediately which seem to have escaped the attention of the trial lawyers. Nevertheless, they did the necessary minimum which insures a reversal and the Providence that looks after children and fools created a situation where the Judge simply tore the law to pieces so flagrantly he gave us all we need to upset the verdict.

Before I advised Joan what to do I had presented to her that it might even cost her life in jail. One has to figure on all events. She wrote back that she was willing to pay the price IF IT WILL HELP **improve the situation for the FIVE MILLION GERMAN WAR PRISONERS.** And that is what we are working now to accomplish. I am not talking glibly. I have been in jails and asylums many a long, endless year, but when one goes there for a **CAUSE as a pioneer,** one has to take chances even on one's life. You joined the WAACS, according to your sense of duty. Joan is "working" now for the recognition of humane treatment of war prisoners under the **Geneva Convention** by a ruthless and criminal US war administration.

I helped her once before when everybody said I was a fool and it could not be done. We'll help again and do the "impossible". RIGHT is on our side and that is all I need know.

Cheer up, matters are not as bad as it appears. She'll survive. Love, KOSTI

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

What burns me up about these Talmudian Jews is their deceptive double-talk. Over the radio and elsewhere, they and their well paid traitors to Christ, stooges, continually harp about: "All men are created equal" and "Racial Discrimination".

The fact is that these deceivers class themselves as "God's chosen people" that "Gentiles are pigs". And they certainly live up to these slogans by persecuting peaceful Christians whenever afforded the opportunity. They wail about anti-Semitism. How about their own anti-Christian attitude? About time they cleaned up their 2000 year old mess.

FRANK REYMANN

Dear Count Kosti:

I shall be happy to have you "invest" the enclosed $50.00 in **your** "armamentarium"!

Affectionately yours, YE "DUKE"

---

3 STOOGES IN A ROW

The most stinging rebuttal to those rapping J. Roy Carlson's terrific best-seller, "The Plotters", is former Federal Assistant Prosecutor Rogge's revelations: "The Justice Department used the same author's 'Under Cover' as a guide for fighting subversives."

—Walter Winchel.

Editor's Note: It's no rebuttal at all. It merely proves materially that Carlson, Rogge, the Justice Department, are stooges of the same "master-mind" of B'nai B'rith Jews who conspire to intimidate Americans against exposures of Jew-control.

Tolerance! Tolerance! Tolerance!

The demagogues in clergy garb who were so conspicuously silent while the Pearl Harbor attack was planned, plotted, brought about, and even boasted of, by one pot-bellied Winston Churchill, while patriotic Americans were railroaded to prison for speaking their minds, had just as well pull in their necks on the subject of tolerance today, because the sort of tolerance they represent is too cheap and hasn't yet been paid for. —JAMES BRYAN

SAVAGES WILL KILL

Christ's Golden Rule Series — No. 4

"But, Kosti", said Gladys, "you stated in your Sun-Work-Shop articles that fully one-third of the first batch of Dr. Hanish's pupils became insane, or at least precariously unbalanced, when they turned one hundred percent vegetarians, giving up all animal foods, even milk and eggs. You also said that whenever you pressed Dr. Hanish to explain discrepancies between theory and practice he blew up instead of discussing matters with you patiently till you could understand the situation. But Fred and David say the very same thing about you. They are not at ease to discuss discrepancies with you and if other people now should drop all animal foods, who would be responsible for that inevitable third that would become unbalanced?"

"Oh me, oh my, Gladys girl, you certainly are crowding me. So many problems, all in one breath."

"But, suppose now, they all went vegetarian. What about their psychological and organic unbalancement?"

"As long as nobody is pressed there is no unbalancement. You see, I don't press you. In time the Spirit will lead you to assimilate better the substances contained in vegetable form which at present you eat in animal form. That's the whole thing in a nutshell. The people who went insane on Dr. Hanish's hands were people whose organism could not sufficiently assimilate the vegetables and get from fruits and grains what they had taken from animals. The organism has to reform itself till it can digest and retain the finer foods. Some people can change overnight. I did. But I suffered the tortures of hell, same as a drug addict suffers, or a drunkard, who suddenly gives up the stimulating poisons. I became like a ghost, only my eyes were full of fire, the LOVE of the Ideal, but my body had to yield. Finally, after more than six years, it became balanced."

"But you said that people who turn vegetarians from the profit motive are not true vegetarians. If meat-eaters should now change over because they want to comply with the LAW, what would result?"

"These are evolutionary problems. Once they comply with the LAW, regardless of motive, to that extent they will already be safe. Then they will have their chance to develop the finer spiritual side of their being, but on the material plane they will have ceased to live by bloodshed and rapacity. That's what Dr. Hanish aimed at FIRST when he compromised in matters of dietary discipline by 'permitting' eggs and dairy products. Only his followers mistook the compromise for the RULE and perpetuate it now, because they never really learned to THINK."

"All right, Kosti. How about your impatience with Fred and David when they disagree with you?"

"Have you noticed, Gladys, that almost never in the time we know each other, did such a thing occur between us two? I have used at times very harsh language to you, but we never became mad at the other."

"I have such faith and high expectations from you, I never cared to oppose you, I have always yielded, because I love you."

"And did you notice how I watch myself not to offend you or push you around? My children did not always approach me the way you do. When you ask a question, you really are asking and are ready to listen. When they ask questions, they don't ask; they are not open to THINK and listen, they are telling me, while pretending to ask. They are not out to learn, they are smart-alecky. That's what drives me mad."

"Is that why Dr. Hanish got mad at you, too?"

"There is also another situation. When the time calls for action, not for talk, and I insisted on action while the boys questioned the instructions I gave, then, again, I often blew up; and in exactly such instances Dr. Hanish hit the ceiling when I questioned him and his instructions. Then, I simply got hurt and because he refused to explain but called me names and repeated it for weeks at a time whenever he saw me at a meeting, I simply stayed away, sometimes for years. But today I understand. My children are expected to have faith in me and in my intelligence to make the right decisions. There is a time for instruction and a time for action. Whenever I told you to do something you never hesitated, you obeyed. Later on you came and asked me why, when we were at leisure and I was glad to talk with you."

"So you mean people are in no danger while changing over to vegetarian diet **as long as they follow their own inclination?"**

"That's it. Some learn to swim by being thrown into the water, others proceed more cautiously and have to be held up till they get the feel of the procedure. The main thing is not to interfer with their own volition. Since we all are of God, the same divine spark lives in each of us. By obeying its true nature of LOVE we all are finally led to the same conclusion, namely that we do much better by being considerate in place of brutal. There is no need for violence whatsoever in this universe. The fundamental momentum is creative, divine LOVE. As we seek it and embody it in practice, the organism adjusts itself to its impulses and happiness is the result all around."

YOU'RE FIRST, WALTER

Now that Walter Winchell has launched a campaign against comics using distasteful dialect jokes, a clamor is rising that he, Winchell, clean up his own column . . . We agree . . . His offensive Mefoofsky series are decidedly on the "black list" . . . And it was Winchell who penned the most insulting gag of all time when he wrote "business is so bad that even Gentiles are starting fires" . . . Yes, Walter, you'd better set the example.

—B'nai B'rith Messenger, L. A.

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

Please find check for $5.00, to renew my subscription.

It is good to have **one** paper that stands for right without fear. Very sincerely,

— — Mrs. R. C.

LET'S GO TO WAR AGAIN
A G. I. indicts Mr. and Mrs. America
By SALEM BADER
Read this sensational expose'.
If you have a copy already, order one for your neighbor.
In booklet form: 25¢ each;
10 for $2; 20 for $3.50
Send Orders To
3628 Cadman Drive, Los Angeles 27, Calif.

'Privileges' of Rikers Inmates Is Discussed

Synagogue Services Turned to Propaganda for New Deal — Cold Cruelties

NEW YORK, Oct. 25 (Special) — Chapter IV of Ernest F. Elmhurst's interesting book on New York's misdemeanor prison, Rikers Island, is entitled "Some of the Inmates Privileges", most of which appear to be in name only.

"The perpetual cruelty manifested by Rikers Island officials toward its inmates is felt in a hundred and more ways as the days go by," says Mr. Elmhurst. "The officials' constant effort NOT to please or oblige the inmate . . . is noteworthy, because it goes to verify my assertion that the prisoner is not being treated like a human being but more like a caged animal, which is not expected to cherish wishes of any sort.

"To press the brunt of an undue prison disciplinarianism (described by officials themselves as 'tough') is a recognized policy, despite Warden Johnson's bragging to the contrary. In the July, 1946, issue of 'Rikers Review,' p. 4, Mr. Johnson claims that he intends 'to keep the inmates in a happy frame of mind.'

"I suppose the warden might include as one means of keeping the boys happy the notorious restrictive order that no inmate be permitted to correspond with or to receive money from any discharged prisoner.

"Since it is an admitted fact that perhaps 50% of all inmates are incarcerated as the result of 'MISAPPLICATION OF LAW', it would seem that justice and commonsense would dictate that the administration would provide some small facilities to enable those many hundreds of illegally confined men to work their way out toward liberty by some advisory council (or possibly a board of ex-judges), whose members would still have some sort of professional ethics left, if there be such a heritage at all in this sadly misgoverned era . . . .

"However, just the opposite appears to be the fact, for the racket-like collaboration between political gangsters and some of our courts on one side and prison officials on the other, has a doubled effect in keeping men in.

"Prison officials, however, realize that if the inmates were cut in half, many of them would lose their jobs, and so for selfish reason they do not wish the number reduced" . . . Guards do not wish an inmate even to look up the address of a lawyer in the phone book.

Along the same line, prison censors hold up outgoing mail 6, 9, 14 and in one case 26 days, to say nothing of those instances where the mail simply disappears (apparently destroyed) . . . Lack of skilled co-operation prevents inmates from making the most of the law books that are in the prison library, under lock and key that are hard to open.

Out of 1350 registered inmates there are about 600 each of Protestants and Catholics, some 50 or 60 Hebrews and the rest scattering in religion, if any. Protestant services, held by a gloomy minister, attract some 45 or 50 at Sunday services; the Catholic services are much more heavily attended.

Jews exclusively enjoy chicken dinners on their special feast days. But the most interesting thing about the Jewish services is the Wednesday night session, when the rabbi does not show up, and **politically minded laymen talk their heads off in political propaganda, especially inviting Gentiles to attend.**

One Jewish attendant, recalling that Martin Luther established Protestantism in Wittenberg, **Prussian,** would go through the cells calling out, "Protestant services—**Prussians** out!"

Many inmates attend services to escape the "cell block stupor"; some to see friends, etc. The sight of a woman in the Salvation Army group always attracts many men, starved for the sight of a fair face. The Christian Science services, for some reason, attracts homosexuals from Block 8A.

Prison motion pictures are old stuff and leave spectators gloomy. They tend to remind a prisoner just how far removed he is from the world of freedom.

Why has Mr. Taft in his attack on Bilbo made no reference to Simon Lieberman's $15,000 donation brought down from New York City to corrupt Bilbo's secretary. Taft knows, and every intelligent observer knows, that Lieberman, before he died, was a close and intimate friend of the moguls in Moscow as well as the leading Communists in America. Taft knows, and all the Republicans in the Senate know, that Bilbo is being attacked because of his opposition to racial mongrelization and because he at one time called Walter Winchell a 'limicolous kike'. Bilbo is anti-Communist and the Reds are out to get him.

'Silent Night' Banned in Soviet Zone Town

HAMBURG, Dec. 7 — The youth office of the town of Schwerin, in the Soviet zone of Germany, has banned the singing of the Christmas carol "Silent Night" at public celebrations organized by the town's kindergarten teachers, and has forbidden the performance of Christmas plays in which angels appear, the British News Service in Germany reports.

Federal City

How "Great" Was Lincoln?—Some Little Known Facts

By WASHINGTON ADAMS

WASHINGTON, Feb. 1 (Special). — Abraham Lincoln's birthday on the 12th brings up the question — HOW GREAT A MAN WAS "THE EMANCIPATOR"?

Lincoln was the greatest combination of genius and mediocrity that our country has produced. In executive ability, the power to select able men, along with freedom from emotional bias in their selection, and in kindness of heart, he ranks at the top, with Washington, Jefferson, Franklin, Madison, Hamilton, and Robert E. Lee.

In the foregoing respects, Lincoln was a genius. In two respects, at least, he was mediocre, and these pulled him down from among the first five; and I rank him SIXTH in American greatness.

The following five appear to me to have been our greatest men, in this order — **WASHINGTON, FRANKLIN, JEFFERSON, MADISON and HAMILTON.** None of these had Lincoln's weaknesses. With Lincoln sixth, I rank Jackson seventh.

I am referring here to statesmen. In invention, Edison was the world's greatest; and in generalship, Lee had no American equal.

There is one book that explains Lincoln as no other biography does—it is **his life story by William H. Herndon,** who was Abe's law partner for 22 years. He knew Lincoln as no other human being did, and his descriptions are unequalled except by Boswell's "Life of Johnson".

Lincoln's great qualities that excite my admiration most are (1) **he was the poorest hater who was ever President.** (2) He appointed men to his cabinet solely on account of his conception of their **ability, honesty and patriotism.** He did not allow one flicker of friendship or enmity to guide him.

Because he appointed not one personal friend in his first cabinet, many friends denounced him as cold, calloused and ungrateful. When his cousin Dennis Hanks pointed out certain personal defects in the secretary of war, Lincoln replied, "If I discharged him, where could I find his equal as an executive?"

When men said, "Grant is a drinker", Lincoln answered, "But, gentlemen, that man wins battles."

(3) Lincoln had one of the biggest and kindest hearts that ever beat. It is this quality that has endeared him to everybody. He never held a grudge; never sought revenge for a wrong or a slight. He pardoned more soldiers sentenced to be shot than any war President.

(4) He never quarrelled with anyone, not even his wife, who sometimes abused him unmercifully. He simply walked away. He and Herndon "never had a cross word".

(5) He never took an unfair advantage of any man. In political and legal debates he would state the arguments of his opponents better than they could, and thus took the ground out from under them.

Despite these and other great virtues, he did have two or three important faults. (1) He was not always steadfast. Like Wilson and F. D. Roosevelt, he went back on his campaign promises. He failed to show up for his wedding ceremony — the first time.

(a) He was elected on a platform and on speeches in which the people were assured that neither he nor the Republican administration would interfere in any way with slavery, except to prevent its extension into new territories and States. He repeated the promise in his inaugural address and in messages to Congress.

Yet, as a war measure, and without benefit of Constitution or law, he did exactly what Southerners had previously prophesied he would do, issued his EMANCIPATION PROCLAMATION, without compensation to slaveholders (except those in the non-seceding States).

(b) He repeatedly stated in addresses before and after the 1860 election that he did not believe in the "social and political equality of the white and black races"; that he believed in the superiority of the white race; that he favored colonizing the Negro—all of them—in Africa or outside of the United States; THAT HE HAD NO INTENTION OF GIVING THE NEGRO THE VOTE.

Yet, in a speech or interview in April, 1865, he showed a weakening of his resolution, and made a statement that was ambiguous, but which many construed as favoring a limited form of Negro suffrage (educated Negroes of property).

When John Wilkes Booth (the mixed Jewish-Anglo-Saxon) heard this, he exclaimed, "My God! that means Negro citizenship!"—and in less than a fortnight, Lincoln died at the hands of the fanatical Booth.

(2) Lincoln had little formal education and never chose much to enlighten himself. He knew far, far less of the history of our nation and its government than any of the five men I rank ahead of him.

His Gettysburg address, although noble in rhetoric, contained two serious errors in the opening sentence: **Four score and seven** years ago our fathers brought forth on this continent a new nation, conceived in liberty and **dedicated to the proposition** that all men are **created equal."**

Error No. 1— it was **not 87 but 76 years previously** that the new nation was born, with the signing of the Constitution, or perhaps 75 years, when the ninth State ratified the Constitution.

Lincoln had in mind the Declaration of Independence, 1776, but that was only the date when the States declared their complete separation from Britain. The 13 States were as independent as any 13 countries in Europe; **Britain made a separate peace with each of the 13 ex-colonies,** and did not recognize any new nation.

Error No. 2—The new nation, born under the Constitution in 1787 or 1788, was never dedicated to the idea of men being "born equal". If, so, not one man mentioned it in the debates of the great Convention, attended by four of my five greatest selections.

Nor had any other man (except Lincoln) ever made such a statement; nor did Jefferson, who wrote the Declaration, ever mention the word equal thereafter, but on the other hand, in his Virginia Notes in 1785, he specifically argued that black Negroes were, as a race, inferior to the whites, and pointed out the superority of the Greek WHITE slaves to the African BLACK slaves.

Herndon knew the books that Lincoln owned and read, and there is no evidence that Lincoln ever saw, much less read, the unmatched debates of the Constitutional Convention. Herndon wrote (page 188):

"Lincoln had, aside from his law books and a few gilded volumes that ornamented the center table of his parlor at home, comparatively no library.

"He never seemed to care to own or collect books. On the other hand I had a very respectable collection, and was adding to it every day."

Lincoln sometimes borrowed a book. In preparing his Inaugural Address, "I looked for a long list, but when I went over it I was greatly surprised.

"He asked me to furnish him Henry Clay's great speech, delivered in 1850; Andrew Jackson's Proclamation Against Nullification; and a copy of the Constitution. He afterwards called for Webster's Reply to Hayne, a speech which he had read at New Salem . . . With these few 'volumes' and no further sources of reference, he locked himself up in a room over a store across the street" . . . and prepared the address.

His Presidential secretary, John Hay, wrote: "I never saw a man who read so little and thought so much".

Editor, The Broom:

I am herewith enclosing $4.00 for one year's subscription and $4.00 to be used as you see fit. Total $8.00 — — — C. F.

Lidice a Hoax

By JOSEPH T. AUER

Hardly any village in the entire world has received so much concentrated attention as little Lidice in Czechoslovakia. Let us assume that the massacre at Lidice was without any precedent in the history of mankind. Seven million Czechs, in retaliation, usurped the right of vengeance against the three and a half million German minority by raping, hanging, shooting, massacring, robbing, looting, and finally after more than a year of slavery and forced starvation, expelling this minority. Justice first would, as in all other cases of murder, ask for the motive of the criminal act. History would supply the facts.

In the case of Lidice the Russophile President-in-exile Benes of Czechoslovakia, and England instigated the crime. It was perpetrated by Czech terrorists dropped from an English plane to kill the protector of Bohemia and Moravia and the Nazi police chief in Prague. The plot, spawned by Benes during his long sleepless nights in England, was a success. This fiendish act should produce an uproar against the Czech people and retaliatory consequences. As expected, Heydrich's death brought severe reprisals. Lidice, where the Nazis assumed the assassins were being harbored, was ordered to deliver the murderers at a set time. As the result was negative, the sensational shooting and razing of Lidice then wrote the capital letters into the story.

What justification is President Benes seeking for his own crime? What right has the plotter and inventor of a crime to demand justice?

LIDICE, HIROSHIMA, NAGASAKI

Let us assume Japan had won the war by a miracle. Would she in the eyes of the world be justified to seek revenge for her Lidices—Hiroshima and Nagaski? **Most certainly, to put it mildly, Hirohito would have demanded the very head of the man who ordered the destruction and extermination of every living creature in and around those two cities, regardless of any consequences.** Who knows what demands the future will make of President Benes? Particularly his own people and the Slovaks, who under his presidency had to live through many sorrowful days. These were caused by him through his Eastern orientation, communistic tendencies in nationalization of the industries, division of land and disowning and punishing all those friendly towards the Germans. The suppression of many former political parties adds to the already chaotic discord between the Protestant Czechs and Slovak Catholics. Some day these elements may turn against him and his executives and seek revenge for his injustices.

In his last utterances fear was expressed that the three million expelled Sudetens may some day return to their soil. Preventative measures have been considered. A pernicious scheme has been devised by his communistic-Jewish government to buy the Sudetens out. This "must-take-plan" compells the Sudeten landowner to take ten or twenty percent of the face value of his former property in inflated German marks and surrender all rights and ownership titles by this "invented" law.

Fear is also sweeping through the Jewish-owned press that the Slovakian people do not wish Monsignor Tiso, former President of Slovakia, condemned. Archbishop Dr. Karol Kmetko testified in court that the Vatican was not against Msgr. Tiso's appointment as president of Slovakia. At the conclusion of the testimony, as reported by A. P., the sensation was further increased after witnessing the hearty handshake of the Archbishop with Msgr. Tiso. All bishops accepted his presidency with approval. This, indeed, is a grave setback. The handwriting on the wall is clearly visible by these prodigees of ours. The million Roman Catholic followers are still looking up to Dr. Tiso as the political successor of their beloved Father Hlinka, the once renowned champion of the deliverence from the Czech yoke. Anti-Semitism is still very strong in Slovakia and to a smaller degree also among the Czechs. This is due to the advantages the Jews have gained in political and social positions. Most of the executives, as well as the brain trusts, were instrumental in carrying out not only the exorbitant measures against the Sudetens and Hungarians, but also, in more moderate degrees, against the Czechs.

The product of Benes' submission to Marshal Stalin's doctrines, his adoption of Pan-Slavism, fertilized the lower element among the Czechs and resulted in communism.

Reaction and condemnation of Benes' crimes are already being noticed in Czechoslovakia. As every story has two sides so it will eventually be with the little Russian-minded doctor. As so many of the Jewish concentration camp victims of Hitler take on body and flesh again, and come to us in person, the women and children of little Lidice have risen from their graves to become heroines of Czechoslovakia. Thus truth will come forth. Some day it will come in such a strong chorus, sung by millions of truth-loving Christian people. It will burst the criminal minds of the betrayers, oppressors, war-mongers and killers, alike. With criminals at the helm of governments, peace of soul and mind can never be possible in this war-torn world of ours.

Editor's Note: Mr. Auer is secretary of the Sudeten-German-American League for Cultural and Social Research.

The British labor government is creating a law whereby a farmer will lose his farm if he does not plant what London bureaucrats demand. The New Dealers were planning the same thing for the U. S. A. The American farmer escaped this tyranny by a hair's breadth.

A "Reactionary" is an American who believes in loyalty to the land of his birth and after having his intelligence insulted beyond endurance decides to strike back with everything God gives him and whatever else he can lay hold of. —James Bryan.

Mass Deportations a Bitter Tragedy

By DR. ALEXANDER BOEKER in PEACE ACTION

(Concluded from last week)

GREEKS UPROOTED FROM TURKEY NOW BACKBONE OF REVOLUTION

Mr. Sumner Welles, who supports the policy as a solution of minority problems, should remember that the Jews after two thousand years of banishment still want to go back to Palestine. What will prevent the Sudetens, the Silesians, the Eastern Poles and the many other victims of this policy from forever wishing to go back to their original homes? The world should have taken warning from the experience of the Greco-Turkish population exchange after the First World War under more favorable conditions. That experiment was called by the British Foreign Secretary, Lord Curzon, "a thoroughly bad and vicious solution, for which the world will pay a heavy penalty for a hundred years to come." His prediction is in a fair way to being fulfilled. The miserable Greeks who were driven out of Asia Minor never took root in their new homeland and became an element of social and political unrest. During the recent strife in Greece they have formed the backbone of the revolutionary organizations.

EUROPEAN RECONSTRUCTION WILL AWAIT MOVEMENT BACK

Rump Germany will not be able to assimilate these 15,000,000 uprooted people, nor will Poland and Czechoslovakia be able to fill the territories they have de-populated. Europe will not be able to settle down to peaceful reconstruction until the great bulk of them are allowed to return to their homes under proper international safeguards. Meanwhile the United States could render a great service to humanity by going definitely on record as being opposed to this latest and most barbarous form of extreme nationalism.

A good beginning was made at the recent Paris peace conference when General Bedell Smith, American Ambassador to Moscow, expressed strong United States opposition to the Czech plan of expelling 100,000 Hungarians from Slovakia. The American representative termed this "repugnant and unacceptable" to the United States (N. Y. Times, Sept. 21). If this is the attitude of the United States as regards the expulsion of Hungarians, this Administration on principle should take the same position as regards Germans.

NAZI LEADERS HANGED FOR SIMILAR BRUTALITY

At Nuremberg not so long ago a number of Nazi leaders were hanged, among other reasons, on Count Three, Section J, of the Indictment which reads: "In certain occupied territories, purportedly annexed to Germany, the defendants methodically and pursuant to plan endeavored to assimilate those territories politically, culturally, socially, and economically into the German Reich, and the defendants endeavored to obliterate the former national character of these territories. In pursuance of these plans and endeavors, the defendants forcibly deported inhabitants who were predominantly non-German and introduced thousands of German colonists."

If we substitute "Slavic" for "German" and "millions" for "thousands", we have an exact description of what is now happening. This also reminds us that what is happening to Germans today may happen to other peoples tomorrow. If expulsions are accepted as a legitimate method of solving minority and border questions, no group of people anywhere will feel safe hereafter. A decisive halt should be called to this inhuman practice now.

SHOULD READ GENEROUSLY SPONSORED PAMPHLET ON SUDETEN TRAGEDY

American Friends of Democratic Sudetens (room 700, 129 Lafayette Street, New York 13, N. Y.), published last June a pamphlet entitled "Tragedy of a People", which describes how this racial vengeance is operating in Czechoslovakia. It is prefaced with an appeal signed by such well known advocates of human rights as John Dewey, John Haynes Holmes, Roger N. Baldwin, Rabbi David Da Sola Pool, Oswald Garrison Villard, Norman Thomas; by labor leaders such as Robert J. Watt, Mark Starr, Matthew Woll and A. Philip Randolph; by educators such as Dr. Charles Upson Clark, Sidney Hook and Robert M. MacIver; by Liston Oak, editor of "The New Leader" and Michael Williams, founder of "The Commonweal"; and by George Creel, Varian Fry and the well-known Quaker, L. Hollingsworth Wood. You should send for this pamphlet to supplement Dr. Boeker's article.

"The Christian Century", leading Protestant Weekly, on November 14, 1945, sounded a warning against what was coming in an article entitled "Behind the Population Transfers", by Otto A. Piper. The important Catholic Weekly Review "America" for November 17, 1945, contained a moving and terrible picture of the "Death March from Silesia", written by a refugee. Articles and protests should increase in volume now that the silence has been broken.

For an intolerable and, from the standpoint of peace, impossible situation is being created with the consent of our own and the British governments. The world yearns for the beginnings for peace, and these deportations are cruelly and deliberately undermining peace. No peace treaties can be put on paper that will be strong enough to subdue permanently the chaos that we are creating in Germany. No country will be remote enough from the heart of Europe to escape the evil consequences of this great sin.

We bring you Dr. Boeker's unvarnished story of the situation in the belief that knowledge of evils is the first step towards their correction.

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

I am enclosing $6.00 for the renewal of The Broom.

Wishing you much success for the future, I remain very sincerely yours, — — O. P. R.

Upton Close, the fearless Nationalist radio commentator, has been given a renewal of his radio contract for another 90 days in spite of the highly financed opposition of the American Jewish Committee, the Anti-Defamation League (financed by the B'nai B'rith) and the Non-Sectarian League, (financed by Jewish extremists).

OLIVES
GIFFORD'S California Olives